

Teodoro Petkoff in 2010.

VENEZUELA Teodoro Petkoff (1932–2018)

A Reflection by Harley Shaiken

eodoro Petkoff, one of Venezuela's most incisive political critics and public intellectuals, died on October 31, 2018, at age 86. His Financial Times obituary called him "the best president Venezuela never had," reflecting Petkoff's international stature as well as his three failed bids for the country's highest office.

Petkoff's remarkable political trajectory began in the Communist Party, which he joined at age 18 during the Pérez Jiménez dictatorship. He fought the Betancourt government as an armed guerrilla in the 1960s and made several escapes from prison. After the Prague Spring in 1968, he left the armed struggle, a departure that led Fidel Castro to call him and fellow former rebels "traitors, temporizers, and cowards." "With the zeal of a convert," the Financial Times continued, "Teodoro spent the next 45 years fighting for democracy in Venezuela." He helped

many others — supported Soviet communism uncritically. found the Movimiento al Socialismo (MAS, Movement Having only a superficial understanding of the character for Socialism) party in 1971, served several terms in office as a deputy, and became a pragmatic planning of totalitarian societies, what they espoused to their minister in the 1990s. Petkoff later raised a significant audiences was an irresponsible abuse of their role. voice of criticism against Hugo Chávez and Nicolás How can you explain Jean-Paul Sartre's Maoist politics? How can a Frenchman, living in France, understand Maoist realities? When an intellectual of the French Communist Party denounced the Soviet gulags, Sartre called it an "imperialist lie." How do we understand this? The relationship between intellectuals In 2008, Petkoff gave a talk for CLAS entitled and totalitarianism is not reflexive. At the same time that Sartre was apologizing for the gulag, Albert Camus was identifying the murky history Sartre and some others had with Nazism in France. Camus, however, was consistently anti-totalitarian. During the occupation, Camus was the editor of Combat, the underground newspaper. He was against the gulag and the Soviet model from the beginning.

Maduro, founding the newspaper Tal Cual in 2000. As a journalist, he won the prestigious Maria Moors Cabot Prize (2012) and the Ortega y Gasset Award (2015) and published some dozen books. "Venezuela Faces the Future." He provided an analysis of the current situation in Venezuela, explaining that while Chávez's oil-fueled social spending had earned a large following among the poor, the long-term sustainability and health of the Venezuelan economy was in jeopardy. Petkoff concluded that "the idea of a democratic strategy [...] is the only field in which we can confront Chávez with possibilities of success." We can also consider the Polish poet Czeslaw Milosz's

The following is his response to a question following The Captive Mind (Knopf, 1953). In the novel, Milosz tries that talk: to explain the behavior of four nameless intellectuals who consciously accept a totalitarian regime. He describes what "Why is there so much uncritical admiration for Hugo happens in the minds of these people, the fascination they Chávez and his policies in international circles among have with totalitarian solutions.

intellectuals and the left? Many of these people are Perhaps the fascination comes from Rousseau's quick to criticize governments on the right but give conception of the common will of the people. Maybe it Chávez their unconditional support. How do you comes from Saint-Just, Robespierre's right-hand, who once explain this phenomenon? said, "What constitutes a republic is the total destruction of everything that stands in opposition to it." Well, that Teodoro Petkoff: Mark Lilla, an American, wrote an philosophy is the birth certificate of totalitarianism. Years important book called The Reckless Mind: Intellectuals later, it was Fidel's same phrase: "Inside the Revolution, in Politics (New York Review Books, 2001). I recommend everything; outside the Revolution, nothing." But who says it because he examines the fascination of 20th-century who or what is inside the Revolution? Fidel.

intellectuals with strongmen and totalitarianism. Lilla In hindsight, it's surprising that some of the most prominent intellectuals of the 20th century supported Stalinism. They were blind to clearly presented evidence of excesses. I should say that when I was a member of the Communist Party, I was the same way. But I was in Venezuela. When the Soviet Union invaded Hungary, I know my own country's intellectuals very well. The we didn't think about Hungary - we had our hands full opposing the Pérez Jiménez dictatorship.

draws on the examples of Martin Heidegger (who was a member of the Nazi party), Carl Schmitt (the theoretician of Nazism), and the politics of the Frenchman Jacques Derrida to examine the attraction of some intellectuals to totalitarianism. majority of Venezuelan intellectuals are against Chávez. This is a revolution without intellectuals.

Outside Venezuela, there is a different perception. David Viñas is a very well-known Argentine writer. He told me once, "I must support Chávez — he is giving cheap oil to Fidel!" Regardless of what is happening in Venezuela, all Viñas cares about is Chávez giving cheap oil to Cuba.

We saw the same tendency with the Soviet Union and Stalin. Around the world, well-known intellectuals, poets, and writers — Louis Aragon in France; Rafael Alberti in Spain; Pablo Neruda, Gabriel García Márquez, and for some time, Mario Vargas Llosa in Latin America; and

In 1968, however, when the Soviet Union invaded Czechoslovakia, we were older, more mature, and we could read the coverage with open eyes. We saw it for what it was.

This article was adapted from Teodoro Petkoff's talk for CLAS on January 25, 2008. It was first published as "Intellectuals and Totalitarianism," in the Berkeley Review of Latin American Studies, Spring 2008.